

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 1-9397

Baker Hughes Incorporated

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

76-0207995

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2929 Allen Parkway, Suite 2100, Houston, Texas

(Address of principal executive offices)

77019-2118

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (713) 439-8600

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES NO

As of October 15, 2015, the registrant has outstanding 436,086,675 shares of Common Stock, \$1 par value per share.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Loss) (Unaudited) - Three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>2</u>
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) - Three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>3</u>
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets (Unaudited) - September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014</u>	<u>4</u>
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Changes in Equity (Unaudited) - Nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>5</u>
	<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) - Three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>6</u>
	<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</u>		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>31</u>
	<u>Signatures</u>	<u>32</u>

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Loss)
(Unaudited)

<i>(In millions, except per share amounts)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Revenue:				
Sales	\$ 1,363	\$ 2,013	\$ 4,322	\$ 5,845
Services	2,423	4,237	8,026	12,071
Total revenue	3,786	6,250	12,348	17,916
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales	1,148	1,620	3,723	4,651
Cost of services	2,255	3,487	7,637	9,921
Research and engineering	115	159	377	461
Marketing, general and administrative	271	323	896	977
Restructuring charges	98	—	747	—
Litigation settlements	—	—	(13)	62
Total costs and expenses	3,887	5,589	13,367	16,072
Operating (loss) income	(101)	661	(1,019)	1,844
Interest expense, net	(55)	(59)	(162)	(175)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(156)	602	(1,181)	1,669
Income taxes	—	(233)	242	(605)
Net (loss) income	(156)	369	(939)	1,064
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(3)	6	3	(8)
Net (loss) income attributable to Baker Hughes	\$ (159)	\$ 375	\$ (936)	\$ 1,056
Basic (loss) earnings per share attributable to Baker Hughes				
	\$ (0.36)	\$ 0.86	\$ (2.13)	\$ 2.42
Diluted (loss) earnings per share attributable to Baker Hughes				
	\$ (0.36)	\$ 0.86	\$ (2.13)	\$ 2.40
Cash dividends per share				
	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.47

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)
(Unaudited)

<i>(In millions)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net (loss) income	\$ (156)	\$ 369	\$ (939)	\$ 1,064
Other comprehensive (loss) income:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments during the period	(91)	(111)	(182)	(108)
Pension and other postretirement benefits, net of tax	5	4	6	(4)
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(86)	(107)	(176)	(112)
Comprehensive (loss) income	(242)	262	(1,115)	952
Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	(3)	6	3	(8)
Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to Baker Hughes	\$ (245)	\$ 268	\$ (1,112)	\$ 944

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets
(Unaudited)

<i>(In millions)</i>	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,043	\$ 1,740
Accounts receivable - less allowance for doubtful accounts (2015 - \$366; 2014 - \$224)	3,518	5,418
Inventories, net	3,262	4,074
Deferred income taxes	332	418
Other current assets	431	395
Total current assets	9,586	12,045
Property, plant and equipment - less accumulated depreciation (2015 - \$8,721; 2014 - \$8,215)	8,026	9,063
Goodwill	6,075	6,081
Intangible assets, net	729	812
Other assets	1,000	826
Total assets	\$ 25,416	\$ 28,827
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,480	\$ 2,807
Short-term debt and current portion of long-term debt	156	220
Accrued employee compensation	795	782
Income taxes payable	50	265
Other accrued liabilities	437	563
Total current liabilities	2,918	4,637
Long-term debt	3,896	3,913
Deferred income taxes and other tax liabilities	324	740
Liabilities for pensions and other postretirement benefits	624	629
Other liabilities	149	178
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Common stock	436	434
Capital in excess of par value	7,192	7,062
Retained earnings	10,720	11,878
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(925)	(749)
Treasury stock	(9)	—
Baker Hughes stockholders' equity	17,414	18,625
Noncontrolling interests	91	105
Total equity	17,505	18,730
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 25,416	\$ 28,827

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Changes in Equity
(Unaudited)

<i>(In millions, except per share amounts)</i>	Baker Hughes Stockholders' Equity						
	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Treasury Stock	Non- controlling Interests	Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ 434	\$ 7,062	\$ 11,878	\$ (749)	\$ —	\$ 105	\$ 18,730
Comprehensive loss:							
Net loss			(936)			(3)	(939)
Other comprehensive loss				(176)			(176)
Activity related to stock plans	2	62			(9)		55
Stock-based compensation		92					92
Cash dividends (\$0.51 per share)			(222)				(222)
Net activity related to noncontrolling interests		(24)				(11)	(35)
Balance at September 30, 2015	\$ 436	\$ 7,192	\$ 10,720	\$ (925)	\$ (9)	\$ 91	\$ 17,505

<i>(In millions, except per share amounts)</i>	Baker Hughes Stockholders' Equity						
	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Non- controlling Interests	Total Equity	
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 438	\$ 7,341	\$ 10,438	\$ (504)	\$ 199	\$ 17,912	
Comprehensive income:							
Net income			1,056		8	1,064	
Other comprehensive loss				(112)		(112)	
Activity related to stock plans	4	134				138	
Repurchase and retirement of common stock	(9)	(591)				(600)	
Stock-based compensation		93				93	
Cash dividends (\$0.47 per share)			(205)			(205)	
Net activity related to noncontrolling interests					(6)	(6)	
Balance at September 30, 2014	\$ 433	\$ 6,977	\$ 11,289	\$ (616)	\$ 201	\$ 18,284	

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

<i>(In millions)</i>	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net (loss) income	\$ (939)	\$ 1,064
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash flows from operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,326	1,346
Impairment of assets	265	—
Benefit for deferred income taxes	(359)	(99)
Provision for doubtful accounts	160	86
Other noncash items	(3)	(89)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	1,692	(572)
Inventories	764	(280)
Accounts payable	(1,289)	186
Income taxes payable	(263)	133
Other operating items, net	(89)	(21)
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	1,265	1,754
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Expenditures for capital assets	(751)	(1,288)
Proceeds from disposal of assets	269	295
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired	—	(313)
Other investing items, net	(231)	—
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(713)	(1,306)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net (repayments) proceeds of short-term debt and other borrowings	(38)	51
Repurchase of common stock	—	(600)
Dividends paid	(222)	(205)
Other financing items, net	21	120
Net cash flows used in financing activities	(239)	(634)
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(10)	(4)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	303	(190)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	1,740	1,399
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 2,043	\$ 1,209
Supplemental cash flows disclosures:		
Income taxes paid, net of refunds	\$ 395	\$ 571
Interest paid	\$ 192	\$ 199
Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing activities:		
Capital expenditures included in accounts payable	\$ 52	\$ 123

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations

Baker Hughes Incorporated ("Baker Hughes," "Company," "we," "our," or "us,") is a leading supplier of oilfield services, products, technology and systems used for drilling, formation evaluation, completion and production, pressure pumping, and reservoir development in the worldwide oil and natural gas industry. We also provide products and services for other businesses including downstream chemicals, and process and pipeline services.

Basis of Presentation

Our unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements included herein have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") in the United States of America ("U.S.") and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for interim financial information. Accordingly, certain information and disclosures normally included in our annual financial statements have been condensed or omitted. These unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. We believe the unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements included herein reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the interim periods. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the full year. In the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, all dollar and share amounts in tabulations are in millions of dollars and shares, respectively, unless otherwise indicated.

New Accounting Standards Updates

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. The ASU will supersede most of the existing revenue recognition requirements in U.S. GAAP and will require entities to recognize revenue at an amount that reflects the consideration to which the Company expects to be entitled in exchange for transferring goods or services to a customer. The new standard also requires significantly expanded disclosures regarding the qualitative and quantitative information of an entity's nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. The pronouncement is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period and is to be applied retrospectively. Early adoption is permitted. We have not completed an evaluation of the impact the pronouncement will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-3, *Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*. The ASU requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The pronouncement is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. We currently report debt issuance costs consistent with the guidance of this ASU; therefore there will be no impact on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures upon adoption.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-5, *Customer's Accounting for Fees Paid in a Cloud Computing Arrangement*. The ASU provides guidance to customers about whether a cloud computing arrangement includes a software license and the related accounting treatment. The pronouncement is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Adoption of this pronouncement is not expected to have a material impact upon our consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

NOTE 2. HALLIBURTON MERGER AGREEMENT

On November 16, 2014, Baker Hughes, Halliburton Company ("Halliburton") and a wholly owned subsidiary of Halliburton ("Merger Sub"), entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement"), under which Halliburton will acquire all of the outstanding shares of Baker Hughes through a merger of Baker Hughes with and into Merger Sub (the "Merger"). Subject to certain specified exceptions, at the effective time of the Merger, each

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

share of Baker Hughes common stock will be converted into the right to receive (i) 1.12 shares of Halliburton common stock and (ii) \$19.00 in cash.

On March 27, 2015, Halliburton's stockholders approved the proposal to issue shares of Halliburton common stock as contemplated by the Merger Agreement. In addition, Baker Hughes' stockholders adopted the Merger Agreement and thereby approved the proposed combination of the two companies. The obligation of the parties to consummate the Merger is still subject to additional customary closing conditions, including: (i) applicable regulatory approvals, including the termination or expiration of the applicable waiting period under the U.S. Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended (the "HSR Act"); (ii) the absence of legal restraints and prohibitions; and (iii) other customary closing conditions. Halliburton is required to take all actions necessary to obtain regulatory approvals (including agreeing to divestitures) unless the assets, businesses or product lines subject to such actions would account for more than \$7.5 billion of 2013 revenue.

As mentioned in the paragraph above, under the HSR Act and the rules promulgated thereunder by the Federal Trade Commission (the "FTC"), the Merger cannot be completed until each of Halliburton and Baker Hughes has filed a notification and report form with the FTC and the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice (the "DOJ") under the HSR Act and the applicable waiting period has expired or been terminated. Each of Halliburton and Baker Hughes filed an initial notification and report form on December 8, 2014. Halliburton withdrew its filing on January 7, 2015 and refiled on January 9, 2015 in order to provide the FTC and the DOJ with an additional 30-day period to review the filings. On February 9, 2015, the DOJ issued a request for additional information under the HSR Act (the "Second Request"). On July 10, 2015, Halliburton and Baker Hughes entered into a timing agreement with the DOJ pursuant to which both companies agreed to extend the period for the DOJ's review of the Merger to the later of November 25, 2015 or 90 days after both companies have certified substantial compliance with the Second Request. On September 28, 2015, Halliburton and Baker Hughes announced an amendment to the timing agreement which extended the period for the DOJ's review of the Merger by three weeks, to the later of December 15, 2015 or 30 days following the date on which both companies have certified final, substantial compliance with the Second Request. In light of the timing agreement, Halliburton and Baker Hughes have agreed to extend the time period for closing of the acquisition pursuant to the Merger Agreement to no later than December 16, 2015. The Merger Agreement also provides that the closing can be extended into 2016, if necessary. Baker Hughes cannot predict with certainty when, or if, the Merger will be completed because completion of the Merger is subject to conditions beyond the control of Baker Hughes.

Baker Hughes and Halliburton each made customary representations, warranties and covenants in the Merger Agreement, including, among others, covenants by each of Baker Hughes and Halliburton to, subject to certain exceptions, conduct its business in the ordinary course. In particular, among other restrictions and subject to certain exceptions, Baker Hughes agreed to generally refrain from acquiring new businesses, incurring new indebtedness, repurchasing shares, issuing new common stock or equity awards (other than equity awards granted to employees, officers and directors materially consistent with historical long-term incentive awards granted), or entering into new material contracts or commitments outside the normal course of business, without the consent of Halliburton, during the period between the execution of the Merger Agreement and the consummation of the Merger. With respect to equity awards granted after the Merger Agreement to officers and employees, such awards will not vest solely as a result of the Merger but will be converted to an equivalent Halliburton equity award. However, they will vest entirely if an officer or employee is terminated within one year following the closing of the Merger with Halliburton. Baker Hughes and Halliburton are each permitted to pay regular quarterly cash dividends during such period. In addition, under the terms of the Merger Agreement, Halliburton and Baker Hughes have agreed to coordinate the declaration and payment of dividends in respect of each party's common stock including record dates and payment dates relating thereto, which we expect to be in the third month of each quarter. Under the Merger Agreement, we have agreed not to increase the quarterly dividend while the Merger is pending.

In the event the Merger Agreement is terminated by (i) either party as a result of the failure of the Merger to occur on or before the end date (as it may be extended) due to the failure to achieve certain specified antitrust-related approvals when all other closing conditions (other than receipt of antitrust and other specified regulatory approvals and conditions that by their nature cannot be satisfied until the closing but subject to such conditions being capable of being satisfied if the closing date were the date of termination) have been satisfied, (ii) either party as a result of any antitrust-related final, non-appealable order or injunction prohibiting the closing, or (iii) Baker Hughes as a result of Halliburton's material breach of its obligations to obtain regulatory approval such that the

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

antitrust-related condition to closing is incapable of being satisfied, then, in each case, Halliburton would be required to pay Baker Hughes a termination fee of \$3.5 billion.

Baker Hughes incurred costs related to the Merger of \$93 million and \$204 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, including costs under our retention program and obligations for minimum incentive compensation costs which, based on meeting eligibility criteria in April, have been treated as merger related expenses.

NOTE 3. RESTRUCTURING AND OTHER CHARGES

Beginning in the second half of 2014 and throughout 2015, the oil and natural gas market experienced a significant over supply of capacity leading to a substantial and rapid decline in oil prices resulting in significantly lower activity in 2015. Accordingly, to adjust to the lower level of activity, we regularly assess our overall operations and have taken actions to restructure and adjust our operations and cost structure to reflect current and expected near-term activity levels. Depending on future market conditions and activity levels, further actions may be necessary to adjust our operations which may result in additional charges.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, we recorded restructuring charges as summarized below:

Restructuring Charges	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2015		September 30, 2015	
Workforce reductions	\$	108	\$	416
Contract terminations		—		83
Impairment of buildings and improvements		—		82
Impairment of machinery and equipment		(10)		166
Total restructuring charges	\$	98	\$	747

Workforce reduction costs: During the first nine months of 2015, we initiated workforce reductions that will result in the elimination of approximately 16,700 positions worldwide. As of September 30, 2015, we have eliminated approximately 13,100 positions. As a result of these workforce reductions, we recorded a charge for severance expense of \$416 million for the first nine months of 2015. As of September 30, 2015, we have made payments totaling \$282 million relating to workforce reductions. We expect that substantially all of the accrued severance remaining will be paid by the middle of 2016.

Contract termination costs: During the first nine months of 2015, we incurred costs of \$83 million for various contracts being terminated, primarily in North America. This includes the accrual for costs to settle leases on closed facilities and certain equipment, and other estimated exit costs, and is net of expected sublease income. We also incurred costs to terminate a take-or-pay supply contract related to the purchase of materials used in our pressure pumping operations in North America, including the write-off of \$14 million of prepayments made in 2014. As of September 30, 2015, we have made payments totaling \$56 million relating to contract termination costs.

Impairment of buildings and improvements: We are consolidating facilities and shutting down certain operations and as a result are closing and abandoning or selling certain facilities, both owned and leased. During the first nine months of 2015, we recognized \$82 million of impairment charges related to facilities primarily in North America and Latin America. For leased facilities, this charge includes the impairment of the leasehold improvements made to those facilities.

Impairment of machinery and equipment: We are exiting or substantially downsizing our presence in select markets primarily in our pressure pumping product line in North America and Latin America. During the first nine months of 2015, we recognized \$166 million of impairment losses to adjust the carrying value of certain machinery and equipment to its fair value, net of costs to dispose. We are currently in the process of disposing of this machinery and equipment through sale or scrap and during the third quarter of 2015, we realized related net gains of \$10 million on sales.

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

Other Charges

In addition to the matters described above, during the first nine months of 2015, we also recorded charges of \$194 million, of which \$37 million is reported in cost of sales and \$157 million is reported in cost of services, to write-down the carrying value of certain inventory. The write-down, primarily in North America, includes lower of cost or market adjustments due to the significant decline in activity and related prices for our products coupled with declines in replacement costs. In addition, the adjustments include provisions for excess inventory levels based on estimates of current and future market demand. The product lines impacted are primarily pressure pumping and drilling and completion fluids.

NOTE 4. VENEZUELA CURRENCY DEVALUATION

In February of 2015, the Venezuelan government modified the currency exchange system by the creation of a new exchange mechanism, SIMADI, which allows for the trading of the Venezuelan Bolivars Fuertes ("BsF") at a floating rate. On March 31, 2015, we began using the SIMADI exchange rate of approximately 192 BsF per U.S. Dollar to remeasure our BsF denominated assets and liabilities, which resulted in a foreign currency loss of approximately \$5 million. This loss was recorded in MG&A expenses in the first quarter of 2015. We believe any further devaluation of Venezuela's currency would not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTE 5. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We are a supplier of oilfield services, products, technology and systems to the worldwide oil and natural gas business, referred to as oilfield operations, which are managed through operating segments that are aligned with our geographic regions. We also provide services and products to the downstream chemicals, and process and pipeline industries, referred to as Industrial Services.

The performance of our operating segments is evaluated based on profit (loss) before tax, which is defined as income (loss) before income taxes and before the following: net interest expense, corporate expenses and certain gains and losses, including restructuring charges, not allocated to the operating segments.

Summarized financial information is shown in the following tables:

Segments	Three Months Ended September 30, 2015		Three Months Ended September 30, 2014	
	Revenue	Profit (Loss) Before Taxes	Revenue	Profit (Loss) Before Taxes
North America	\$ 1,368	\$ (169)	\$ 3,155	\$ 380
Latin America	439	48	571	71
Europe/Africa/Russia Caspian	791	90	1,114	91
Middle East/Asia Pacific	849	69	1,077	155
Industrial Services	339	40	333	35
Total Operations	3,786	78	6,250	732
Corporate and other	—	(81)	—	(71)
Interest expense, net	—	(55)	—	(59)
Restructuring charges	—	(98)	—	—
Total	\$ 3,786	\$ (156)	\$ 6,250	\$ 602

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

Segments	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	
	Revenue	Profit (Loss) Before Taxes	Revenue	Profit (Loss) Before Taxes
North America	\$ 4,872	\$ (545)	\$ 8,774	\$ 978
Latin America	1,371	122	1,645	172
Europe/Africa/Russia Caspian	2,555	117	3,269	421
Middle East/Asia Pacific	2,621	182	3,241	448
Industrial Services	929	79	987	96
Total Operations	12,348	(45)	17,916	2,115
Corporate and other	—	(240)	—	(209)
Interest expense, net	—	(162)	—	(175)
Restructuring charges	—	(747)	—	—
Litigation settlements	—	13	—	(62)
Total	\$ 12,348	\$ (1,181)	\$ 17,916	\$ 1,669

NOTE 6. INCOME TAXES

We estimate our annual effective tax rate based on actual year-to-date operating results and our expectation of operating results for the remainder of the year, by jurisdiction, and apply this rate to the actual year-to-date operating results. If our actual operating results, by jurisdiction, differ from the expected operating results, our effective tax rate can change affecting the tax expense for both interim and annual periods.

We reported zero income tax expense or benefit for the three months ended September 30, 2015, and an income tax benefit of \$242 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Our effective tax rate on the loss before income taxes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 was 0.0% and 20.5%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2015 is lower than the U.S. statutory income tax rate of 35% primarily due to \$98 million of restructuring charges with only partial or no tax-benefit in certain jurisdictions, the geographical mix of profits and losses, and higher withholding taxes, partially offset by adjustments to prior years' tax positions. The total tax benefit associated with the restructuring charges and inventory write-downs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 was \$28 million and \$263 million, respectively.

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

NOTE 7. EARNINGS PER SHARE

A reconciliation of the number of shares used for the basic and diluted (loss) earnings per share ("EPS") computations is as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Weighted average common shares outstanding for basic EPS	439	436	438	437
Adjustment for effect of dilutive securities - stock plans	—	2	—	3
Weighted average common shares outstanding for diluted EPS	439	438	438	440
Anti-dilutive shares excluded from diluted EPS ⁽¹⁾	1	—	2	—
Future potentially dilutive shares excluded from diluted EPS ⁽²⁾	3	2	3	2

⁽¹⁾ The calculation of diluted net loss per share for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, excludes shares potentially issuable under stock-based incentive compensation plans and the employee stock purchase plan, as their effect, if included, would have been anti-dilutive.

⁽²⁾ Options where the exercise price exceeds the average market price are excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss or earnings per share because their effect would be anti-dilutive.

NOTE 8. INVENTORIES

Inventories, net of reserves of \$351 million at September 30, 2015 and \$319 million at December 31, 2014, are comprised of the following:

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Finished goods	\$ 2,928	\$ 3,644
Work in process	188	227
Raw materials	146	203
Total inventories	\$ 3,262	\$ 4,074

NOTE 9. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets are comprised of the following:

	September 30, 2015			December 31, 2014		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Less: Accumulated Amortization	Net	Gross Carrying Amount	Less: Accumulated Amortization	Net
Technology	\$ 872	\$ 438	\$ 434	\$ 870	\$ 393	\$ 477
Customer relationships	487	221	266	488	191	297
Trade names	119	95	24	120	92	28
Other	18	13	5	23	13	10
Total intangible assets	\$ 1,496	\$ 767	\$ 729	\$ 1,501	\$ 689	\$ 812

Intangible assets are generally amortized on a straight-line basis with estimated useful lives ranging from 3 to 30 years. Amortization expense included in the net loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 was \$26 million and \$77 million, respectively, as compared to \$26 million and \$79 million reported in 2014 for the same periods.

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

Amortization expense of these intangibles over the remainder of 2015 and for each of the subsequent five fiscal years is expected to be as follows:

Year	Estimated Amortization Expense
Remainder of 2015	\$ 26
2016	101
2017	98
2018	92
2019	88
2020	78

NOTE 10. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Our financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, investments, accounts payable, debt and foreign currency forward contracts. Except as described below, the estimated fair value of such financial instruments at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 approximates their carrying value as reflected in our unaudited consolidated condensed balance sheets.

The estimated fair value of total debt at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 was \$4,403 million and \$4,663 million, respectively, which differs from the carrying amounts of \$4,052 million and \$4,133 million, respectively, included in our unaudited consolidated condensed balance sheets. The fair value was determined using quoted period-end market prices.

NOTE 11. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

We have both funded and unfunded noncontributory defined benefit pension plans ("Pension Benefits") covering certain employees primarily in the U.S., the United Kingdom, Germany and Canada. We also provide certain postretirement health care benefits ("Other Postretirement Benefits"), through an unfunded plan, to a closed group of U.S. employees who, when they retire, have met certain age and service requirements.

The components of net periodic cost (benefit) are as follows for the three months ended September 30:

	U.S. Pension Benefits		Non-U.S. Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014
Service cost	\$ 15	\$ 17	\$ 4	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$ 1
Interest cost	6	7	7	8	1	1
Expected return on plan assets	(12)	(11)	(12)	(9)	—	—
Amortization of prior service credit	—	—	—	—	(2)	(2)
Amortization of net actuarial loss	3	2	2	1	—	1
Curtailment gain	—	—	—	—	(2)	—
Other	8	—	—	—	—	—
Net periodic cost (benefit)	\$ 20	\$ 15	\$ 1	\$ 3	\$ (2)	\$ 1

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

The components of net periodic cost (benefit) are as follows for the nine months ended September 30:

	U.S. Pension Benefits		Non-U.S. Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014
Service cost	\$ 49	\$ 52	\$ 12	\$ 10	\$ 3	\$ 4
Interest cost	20	21	23	26	3	4
Expected return on plan assets	(37)	(33)	(36)	(29)	—	—
Amortization of prior service credit	—	—	—	—	(8)	(5)
Amortization of net actuarial loss	7	6	4	3	2	2
Curtailment gain	—	—	—	—	(11)	—
Other	8	—	—	—	—	(3)
Net periodic cost (benefit)	\$ 47	\$ 46	\$ 3	\$ 10	\$ (11)	\$ 2

NOTE 12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

LITIGATION

We are subject to a number of lawsuits and claims arising out of the conduct of our business. The ability to predict the ultimate outcome of such matters involves judgments, estimates and inherent uncertainties. We record a liability for those contingencies where the incurrence of a loss is probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated, including accruals for self-insured losses which are calculated based on historical claim data, specific loss development factors and other information. A range of total possible losses for all litigation matters cannot be reasonably estimated. Based on a consideration of all relevant facts and circumstances, we do not expect the ultimate outcome of any currently pending lawsuits or claims against us will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows; however, there can be no assurance as to the ultimate outcome of these matters.

We insure against risks arising from our business to the extent deemed prudent by our management and to the extent insurance is available, but no assurance can be given that the nature and amount of that insurance will be sufficient to fully indemnify us against liabilities arising out of pending or future legal proceedings or other claims. Most of our insurance policies contain deductibles or self-insured retentions in amounts we deem prudent and for which we are responsible for payment. In determining the amount of self-insurance, it is our policy to self-insure those losses that are predictable, measurable and recurring in nature, such as claims for automobile liability, general liability and workers compensation.

The following lawsuits have been filed in Delaware in connection with our pending merger with Halliburton:

- On November 24, 2014, Gary Molenda, a purported shareholder of the Company, filed a class action lawsuit in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware ("Delaware Chancery Court") against Baker Hughes, the Company's Board of Directors, Halliburton, and Red Tiger LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Halliburton ("Red Tiger" and together with all defendants, "Defendants") styled Gary R. Molenda v. Baker Hughes, Inc., et al., Case No. 10390-CB.
- On November 26, 2014, a second purported shareholder of the Company, Booth Family Trust, filed a substantially similar class action lawsuit in Delaware Chancery Court.
- On December 1, 2014, New Jersey Building Laborers Annuity Fund and James Rice, two additional purported shareholders of the Company, filed substantially similar class action lawsuits in Delaware Chancery Court.
- On December 10, 2014, a fifth purported shareholder of the Company, Iron Workers Mid-South Pension Fund, filed another substantially similar class action lawsuit in the Delaware Chancery Court.
- On December 24, 2014, a sixth purported shareholder of the Company, Annette Shipp, filed another substantially similar class action lawsuit in the Delaware Chancery Court.

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

All of the lawsuits make substantially similar claims. The plaintiffs generally allege that the members of the Company's Board of Directors breached their fiduciary duties to our shareholders in connection with the merger negotiations by entering into the merger agreement and by approving the merger, and that the Company, Halliburton, and Red Tiger aided and abetted the purported breaches of fiduciary duties. More specifically, the lawsuits allege that the merger agreement provides inadequate consideration to our shareholders, that the process resulting in the merger agreement was flawed, that the Company's directors engaged in self-dealing, and that certain provisions of the merger agreement improperly favor Halliburton and Red Tiger, precluding or impeding third parties from submitting potentially superior proposals, among other things. The lawsuit filed by Annette Shipp also alleges that our Board of Directors failed to disclose material information concerning the proposed merger in the preliminary registration statement on Form S-4. On January 7, 2015, James Rice amended his complaint, adding similar allegations regarding the disclosures in the preliminary registration statement on Form S-4. The lawsuits seek unspecified damages, injunctive relief enjoining the merger, and rescission of the merger agreement, among other relief. On January 23, 2015, the Delaware lawsuits were consolidated under the caption *In re Baker Hughes Inc. Stockholders Litigation, Consolidated C.A. No. 10390-CB* (the "Consolidated Case"). Pursuant to the Court's consolidation order, plaintiffs filed a consolidated complaint on February 4, 2015, which alleges substantially similar claims and seeks substantially similar relief to that raised in the six individual complaints, except that while Baker Hughes is named as a defendant, no claims are asserted against the Company.

On March 18, 2015, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle the Consolidated Case in exchange for the Company making certain additional disclosures. Those disclosures were contained in a Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 18, 2015. The settlement remains subject to certain conditions, including consummation of the merger, final documentation, and court approval.

On November 26, 2014, a seventh class action challenging the merger was filed by a purported Company shareholder in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas (Houston Division). The lawsuit, styled *Marc Rovner v. Baker Hughes Inc., et al.*, Cause No. 4:14-cv-03416 ("the Rovner lawsuit"), asserts claims against the Company, most of our current Board of Directors, Halliburton, and Red Tiger. The lawsuit asserts substantially similar claims and seeks substantially similar relief as that sought in the Delaware lawsuits. On March 20, 2015, counsel for Mr. Rovner filed a notice of voluntary dismissal, and on March 23, 2015, the Court entered an order dismissing the Rovner lawsuit without prejudice.

On October 9, 2014, our subsidiary filed a Request for Arbitration against a customer before the London Court of International Arbitration, pursuing claims for the non-payment of invoices for goods and services provided in an amount provisionally quantified to exceed \$67.9 million. In our Request for Arbitration, we also noted that invoices in an amount exceeding \$57 million had been issued to the customer, and would be added to the claim in the event that they became overdue. The due date for payment of all of these invoices has now passed. On November 6, 2014, the customer filed its Response and Counterclaim, denying liability and counterclaiming damages for breach of contract of approximately \$182 million. We deny any liability to the customer and intend to pursue our claims against the customer and defend the claims made under the counterclaim. The Parties have agreed to a suspension in the arbitral proceedings to October 31, 2015, pending ongoing settlement discussions. No timetable for the conduct of the arbitration has yet been established.

During 2014, we received customer notifications related to a possible equipment failure in a natural gas storage system in Northern Germany, which includes certain of our products. We are currently investigating the cause of the possible failure and, if necessary, possible repair and replacement options for our products. Similar products were utilized in other natural gas storage systems for this and other customers. The customer initiated arbitral proceedings against us on June 19, 2015, under the rules of the German Institute of Arbitration e.V. (DIS). The customer alleges damages of approximately \$170 million plus interest at an annual rate of prime + 5%. A procedural schedule for the arbitration has not yet been set. In addition, on September 21, 2015, TRIUVA Kapitalverwaltungsgesellschaft mbH filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division against the Company and Baker Hughes Oilfield Operations, Inc. alleging that the plaintiff is the owner of gas storage caverns in Etzel, Germany in which the Company provided certain equipment in connection with the development of the gas storage caverns. The plaintiff further alleges that the Company supplied equipment that was either defectively designed or failed to warn of risks that the equipment posed, and that these alleged defects caused damage to the plaintiff's property. The plaintiff seeks recovery of alleged compensatory and punitive damages of an unspecified amount, in addition to reasonable attorneys' fees, court

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

costs and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest. The allegations in this lawsuit are related to the claims made in the June 19, 2015 German arbitration referenced above. At this time, we are not able to predict the outcome of these claims or whether either will have any material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On August 31, 2015, a customer of one of the Company's subsidiaries issued a Letter of Claim pursuant to a Construction and Engineering Contract. The customer has claimed \$369 million plus loss of production resulting from a breach of contract related to five electric submersible pumps installed by the subsidiary in Europe. Investigation is ongoing as to the merits of the claim. At this time, we are not able to predict the outcome of this claim or whether it will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

We are a defendant in various labor claims including the following matters.

- On April 28, 2014, a collective action lawsuit alleging that we failed to pay a class of workers overtime in compliance with the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") was filed titled Michael Ciamillo, individually, etc., et al. vs. Baker Hughes Incorporated in the U.S. District Court for the District of Alaska ("Ciamillo"). During the fourth quarter of 2014, the parties agreed to settle the Ciamillo lawsuit, including certain state law claims, for \$5 million. The court granted final approval of that settlement on June 19, 2015.
- On December 10, 2013, a class and collective action lawsuit alleging that we failed to pay a nationwide class of workers overtime in compliance with the FLSA and certain state laws was filed titled Lea et al. v. Baker Hughes, Inc. in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Galveston Division ("Lea"). During the second quarter of 2014, the parties agreed to settle the Lea lawsuit, subject to final court approval, and we recorded a charge of \$62 million, which included an estimate of the Lea settlement amount and associated costs and an amount for settlement of another wage and hour lawsuit. A portion of this settlement was to be paid on a claims made basis and during the second quarter of 2015, the date passed by which the class members could file a claim under this provision of the settlement agreement. The amount of claims made was less than estimated and accordingly, we reduced the accrual by approximately \$13 million, which was recorded as an adjustment of litigation settlements during the second quarter of 2015.
- On April 30, 2015, a class and collective action lawsuit alleging that we failed to pay a nationwide class of workers overtime in compliance with the FLSA and North Dakota law was filed titled Williams et al. v. Baker Hughes Oilfield Operations, Inc. in the U.S. District Court for the District of North Dakota. We are evaluating the background facts and at this time cannot predict the outcome of this lawsuit and are not able to reasonably estimate the potential impact, if any, such outcome would have on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On May 30, 2013, we received a Civil Investigative Demand ("CID") from the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") pursuant to the Antitrust Civil Process Act. The CID seeks documents and information from us for the period from May 29, 2011 through the date of the CID in connection with a DOJ investigation related to pressure pumping services in the U.S. We are working with the DOJ to provide the requested documents and information. We are not able to predict what action, if any, might be taken in the future by the DOJ or other governmental authorities as a result of the investigation.

OTHER

In the normal course of business with customers, vendors and others, we have entered into off-balance sheet arrangements, such as surety bonds for performance, letters of credit and other bank issued guarantees, which totaled approximately \$1.2 billion at September 30, 2015. It is not practicable to estimate the fair value of these financial instruments. None of the off-balance sheet arrangements either has, or is likely to have, a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Baker Hughes Incorporated
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

NOTE 13. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The following tables present the changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax:

	Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ (246)	\$ (503)	\$ (749)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	9	(182)	(173)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	(6)	—	(6)
Deferred taxes	3	—	3
Balance at September 30, 2015	\$ (240)	\$ (685)	\$ (925)

	Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ (217)	\$ (287)	\$ (504)
Other comprehensive (loss) income before reclassifications	(5)	(108)	(113)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	3	—	3
Deferred taxes	(2)	—	(2)
Balance at September 30, 2014	\$ (221)	\$ (395)	\$ (616)

The amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 represent the amortization of prior service credit, net actuarial loss, curtailment gain and certain other items which are included in the computation of net periodic cost (benefit). See Note 11. Employee Benefit Plans for additional details. Net periodic cost (benefit) is recorded in cost of sales and services, research and engineering, and marketing, general and administrative expenses.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements and the related notes included in Item 1 thereto, as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 ("2014 Annual Report").

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Baker Hughes is a leading supplier of oilfield services, products, technology and systems to the worldwide oil and natural gas industry, referred to as our oilfield operations. We manage our oilfield operations through four geographic segments consisting of North America, Latin America, Europe/Africa/Russia Caspian ("EARC"), and Middle East/Asia Pacific ("MEAP"). Our Industrial Services businesses are reported in a fifth segment. As of September 30, 2015, Baker Hughes had approximately 46,000 employees compared to approximately 62,000 employees as of December 31, 2014.

Within our oilfield operations, the primary driver of our businesses is our customers' capital and operating expenditures dedicated to oil and natural gas exploration, field development and production. The main products and services provided by oilfield operations fall into one of two categories, Drilling and Evaluation or Completion and Production. This classification is based on the two major phases of constructing an oil and/or natural gas well, the drilling phase and the completion phase, and how our products and services are utilized in each phase. We also provide products and services to the downstream chemicals industry, and process and pipeline services, referred to as Industrial Services.

Beginning in the second half of 2014 and throughout 2015, the oil and natural gas market experienced a significant over supply of capacity, leading to a substantial and rapid decline in oil and natural gas prices that resulted in significantly lower activity in 2015. The decline in activity has occurred throughout the world but most notably in North America where the rig count has declined 43% in the first nine months of 2015 compared to the same period in 2014. As a result of these changes in market conditions and the significant decrease in activity and customer spending, we have experienced a decline in demand for our products and services.

Financial Results

For the third quarter of 2015, we generated revenue of \$3.79 billion, a decrease of \$2.46 billion, or 39%, compared to the third quarter of 2014, consistent with the 40% drop in the worldwide rig count. In the first nine months of 2015, revenue totaled \$12.35 billion, a decline of \$5.57 billion, or 31%, compared to the same period a year ago, in line with the 31% drop in the worldwide rig count over the same time frame. All geographic segments experienced revenue declines in the third quarter and the first nine months of 2015 due primarily to the downturn in the oil and natural gas market. These conditions resulted in reduced activity, an oversupply of equipment and an unfavorable pricing environment. Revenue was also negatively impacted by an unfavorable change in exchange rates of several currencies relative to the U.S. Dollar, predominately in the EARC segment. North America, driven by the drop in the North America onshore rig count, was the largest contributor to the year over year revenue decline.

Net loss attributable to Baker Hughes was \$159 million and \$936 million for the third quarter and first nine months of 2015, respectively, compared to net income attributable to Baker Hughes of \$375 million and \$1.06 billion for the third quarter and first nine months of 2014, respectively. Loss before tax was \$156 million and \$1.18 billion for the third quarter and first nine months of 2015, respectively, compared to income before tax of \$602 million and \$1.67 billion for the third quarter and first nine months of 2014, respectively.

Even though the severity of the revenue decline has compressed our margins, we have lessened the impact by taking actions to reduce costs and adjust our operational cost structure to reflect current and expected near-term activity levels. These restructuring activities included workforce reductions, contract terminations, facility closures and the removal of excess machinery and equipment which resulted in asset impairments. As a result of these restructuring activities, in the first quarter of 2015, we recorded charges totaling \$573 million. In the second and

third quarters of 2015, we recorded additional restructuring charges of \$76 million and \$98 million, respectively, related primarily to workforce reductions. These charges have been excluded from the results of our operating segments. Additionally, we incurred costs of \$171 million and \$23 million in the first and second quarters of 2015, respectively, to write-down the carrying value of certain inventory. These amounts are included in the results of our operating segments.

Halliburton Merger Agreement

On November 16, 2014, Baker Hughes and Halliburton Company ("Halliburton") entered into a definitive agreement and plan of merger (the "Merger Agreement") under which Halliburton will acquire all of the outstanding shares of Baker Hughes in a stock and cash transaction, referred to as the "Merger". Under the terms of the agreement and subject to certain specified exceptions, at the effective time of the merger, each share of common stock of Baker Hughes will be converted into the right to receive 1.12 Halliburton shares plus \$19.00 in cash. On March 27, 2015, Halliburton's stockholders approved the proposal to issue shares of Halliburton common stock as contemplated by the Merger Agreement. In addition, Baker Hughes' stockholders adopted the Merger Agreement and thereby approved the proposed combination of the two companies. The transaction is still subject to regulatory approvals and customary closing conditions. Halliburton and Baker Hughes have agreed to extend the time period for closing of the transaction pursuant to the Merger Agreement to no later than December 16, 2015. The Merger Agreement also provides that the closing can be extended into 2016, if necessary. Baker Hughes cannot predict with certainty when, or if, the Merger will be completed because completion of the Merger is subject to conditions beyond the control of Baker Hughes. For further information about the Merger, see Note 2. "Halliburton Merger Agreement" of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 herein.

Outlook

Our industry is cyclical, and past cycles have been driven primarily by alternating periods of ample supply or shortages of oil and natural gas relative to demand. As an oilfield services company, our revenue is dependent on spending by our customers for oil and natural gas exploration, field development and production. This spending is dependent on a number of factors, including our customers' forecasts of future energy demand, their expectations for future energy prices, their access to resources to develop and produce oil and natural gas, their ability to fund their capital programs and the impact of new government regulations.

Since 2014, the oil market has experienced an excess of supply as a result of sustained high output from tight oil plays in North America, a slowdown in demand from key consumer regions such as Europe and East Asia and the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries ("OPEC") position since late November to not cut production. This market imbalance resulted in a rapid decline in oil prices, with both Brent and West Texas Intermediate prices dropping to near six-year lows in mid-March of 2015 and 60% below 2014 peak highs, which ultimately led to a significant decrease in activity and customer spending. Throughout 2015, both Brent and West Texas Intermediate prices have experienced significant volatility, driven by reports of estimated supply and demand.

In North America, in response to lower oil prices, activity levels began to decline in late December 2014 and as of September 30, 2015, the U.S. rig count had fallen approximately 1,000 rigs, or 54%, compared to the 2014 year-end rig count; and the Canadian rig count had fallen by more than 80 rigs, or 31%, over the same period. Near the end of the third quarter of 2015, we began to see another downward trend in the North America rig count as further oil price declines, combined with the upcoming lending redetermination season, have driven our customers to make additional spending reductions.

As we enter the fourth quarter of 2015, our visibility remains limited. We do expect activity reductions and pricing pressures across the globe for the remainder of the year as our customers continue to adapt their spending to the weaker oil price environment and prepare for lower activity into 2016. In North America, we expect activity to decline likely accelerating towards the end of the year as operators ramp down for an extended holiday period. Internationally, seasonal year-end product sales are not expected to offset the anticipated decline in activity.

BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT

We operate in more than 80 countries helping customers find, evaluate, drill, produce, transport and process hydrocarbon resources. Our revenue is predominately generated from the sale of products and services to major, national, and independent oil and natural gas companies worldwide, and is dependent on spending by our customers for oil and natural gas exploration, field development and production. This spending is dependent on a number of factors, including our customers' forecasts of future energy demand and supply, their access to resources to develop and produce oil and natural gas, their ability to fund their capital programs, the impact of new government regulations and most importantly, their expectations for oil and natural gas prices as a key driver of their cash flows.

Oil and Natural Gas Prices

Oil and natural gas prices are summarized in the table below as averages of the daily closing prices during each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Brent oil price (\$/Bbl) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 50.17	\$ 102.16	\$ 55.36	\$ 106.58
WTI oil price (\$/Bbl) ⁽²⁾	46.48	97.70	50.94	99.81
Natural gas price (\$/mmBtu) ⁽³⁾	2.75	3.94	2.78	4.55

⁽¹⁾ Bloomberg Dated Brent ("Brent") Oil Spot Price per Barrel

⁽²⁾ Bloomberg West Texas Intermediate ("WTI") Cushing Crude Oil Spot Price per Barrel

⁽³⁾ Bloomberg Henry Hub Natural Gas Spot Price per million British Thermal Unit

In North America, customer spending is highly driven by WTI oil prices, which fluctuated widely during the third quarter of 2015. At the beginning of the quarter, WTI oil prices continued their downward trend started in June 2015, which resulted from reports of surplus supplies in the U.S. and concerns about weaker demand from China. The decline of WTI oil prices accelerated in the third quarter of 2015, falling 33% from a high of \$56.96/Bbl at the beginning of July to a low of \$38.09/Bbl in late August, before rebounding slightly to \$45.09/Bbl to finish the quarter. This rise in price in September of 2015 was in response to lower estimates of U.S. oil production and increased estimates of global demand, particularly from China.

Outside North America, customer spending is most heavily influenced by Brent oil prices, which, similar to WTI prices, fluctuated throughout the quarter, decreasing from a high of \$60.88/Bbl at the start of the quarter to a low of \$40.74/Bbl in late August 2015, and bouncing back slightly to \$47.13/Bbl at the end of the quarter. Weaker oil prices were driven by the same factors as WTI.

Overall, Brent and WTI oil prices in the third quarter of 2015 averaged 52% lower than the prior year, stemming from heightened concerns of a long term global oversupply imbalance as U.S. production has proven to be more resilient than expected to the impact of reduced drilling activity.

In North America, natural gas prices, as measured by the Henry Hub Natural Gas Spot Price, averaged \$2.75/mmBtu in the third quarter of 2015. Compared to the prior year, natural gas prices have decreased 30%. Despite an increase in the natural gas demand forecast for 2015, driven primarily by increase in natural gas use in the power sector, overall supply growth and abundant inventories have led to lower prices in 2015 compared to 2014. According to the U.S. Department of Energy ("DOE"), working natural gas in storage at the end of the third quarter of 2015 was 3,538 Bcf, which is 4% higher than the previous five-year (2010-2014) average, and 10%, or 333 Bcf, above the corresponding week in 2014.

Baker Hughes Rig Count

The Baker Hughes rig counts are an important business barometer for the drilling industry and its suppliers. When drilling rigs are active they consume products and services produced by the oil service industry. Rig count trends are governed by the exploration and development spending by oil and gas companies, which in turn is influenced by current and future price expectations for oil and natural gas. Therefore, the counts may reflect the relative strength and stability of energy prices and overall market activity. However, these counts should not be solely relied on as other specific and pervasive conditions may exist that affect overall energy prices and market activity.

Baker Hughes has been providing rig counts to the public since 1944. We gather all relevant data through our field service personnel, who obtain the necessary data from routine visits to the various rigs, customers, contractors and/or other outside sources. We base the classification of a well as either oil or natural gas primarily upon filings made by operators in the relevant jurisdiction. This data is then compiled and distributed to various wire services and trade associations and is published on our website. We believe the counting process and resulting data is reliable; however, it is subject to our ability to obtain accurate and timely information. Rig counts are compiled weekly for the U.S. and Canada and monthly for all international rigs. Published international rig counts do not include rigs drilling in certain locations, such as Russia, the Caspian region, Iran and onshore China because this information is not readily available.

Rigs in the U.S. and Canada are counted as active if, on the day the count is taken, the well being drilled has been started but drilling has not been completed and the well is anticipated to be of sufficient depth to be a potential consumer of our drill bits. In international areas, rigs are counted on a weekly basis and deemed active if drilling activities occurred during the majority of the week. The weekly results are then averaged for the month and published accordingly. The rig count does not include rigs that are in transit from one location to another, rigging up, being used in non-drilling activities including production testing, completion and workover, and are not expected to be significant consumers of drill bits.

The rig counts are summarized in the table below as averages for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2015	2014	% Change	2015	2014	% Change
U.S. - land and inland waters	833	1,842	(55%)	1,021	1,787	(43%)
U.S. - offshore	32	61	(48%)	38	58	(34%)
Canada	190	386	(51%)	200	370	(46%)
North America	1,055	2,289	(54%)	1,259	2,215	(43%)
Latin America	318	406	(22%)	331	403	(18%)
North Sea	37	35	6%	39	39	—%
Continental Europe	72	113	(36%)	80	105	(24%)
Africa	95	126	(25%)	111	134	(17%)
Middle East	393	411	(4%)	403	409	(1%)
Asia Pacific	217	256	(15%)	224	254	(12%)
Outside North America	1,132	1,347	(16%)	1,188	1,344	(12%)
Worldwide	2,187	3,636	(40%)	2,447	3,559	(31%)

The rig count in North America decreased 54% in the third quarter of 2015 compared to the same period a year ago, as a consequence of reduced spending from our customers as they adapt to a lower oil price environment. The reduction in North America rig activity compared to the prior year is mainly attributable to oil-directed drilling, which experienced a 59% decline in rig counts as the steep drop in oil prices over the last year resulted in a reduction in exploration and production spending. The natural gas-directed rig count experienced a 37% decrease compared to the same period a year ago as a result of lower natural gas prices. In the U.S., natural gas prices remain below levels that are considered to be economic for new investments in many natural gas fields. In Canada,

the reduction in the natural gas-directed rig count was primarily related to lower drilling activity levels in condensate rich zones in Alberta to service oil sands.

Outside North America, the rig count in the third quarter of 2015 decreased 16% compared to the same period a year ago. In Latin America, the rig count declined 22% as a consequence of reduced drilling activity throughout the region, but most notably in Mexico, Colombia, and Ecuador. In Europe, the rig count in the North Sea increased 6%, primarily due to an increase in offshore drilling activity in Norway, while in Continental Europe the rig count declined by 36% driven by lower onshore drilling activity primarily in Turkey, Albania, Romania and France. In Africa, the rig count decreased 25% primarily due to reduced onshore drilling activity in Libya, Chad and Nigeria, and lower offshore drilling activity in Angola; partially offset by an increase in onshore drilling in Algeria. The rig count decreased 4% in the Middle East due to lower drilling activity in Iraq and Egypt, partially offset by higher rig activity in Saudi Arabia, Abu Dhabi, Oman and Pakistan. In Asia Pacific, the rig count declined 15% as a result of reduced drilling activity in India, Indonesia, China, Australia, and New Zealand.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The discussions below relating to significant line items from our unaudited consolidated condensed statements of income (loss) are based on available information and represent our analysis of significant changes or events that impact the comparability of reported amounts. Where appropriate, we have identified specific events and changes that affect comparability or trends and, where reasonably practicable, have quantified the impact of such items. In addition, the discussions below for revenue and cost of revenue are on a total basis as the business drivers for product sales and services are similar. All dollar amounts in tabulations in this section are in millions of dollars, unless otherwise stated.

Revenue and Profit (Loss) Before Tax

Revenue and profit (loss) before tax for each of our five operating segments is provided below. The performance of our operating segments is evaluated based on profit (loss) before tax, which is defined as income (loss) before income taxes and before the following: net interest expense, corporate expenses, restructuring charges and certain gains and losses not allocated to the operating segments.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		\$ Change	% Change	Nine Months Ended September 30,		\$ Change	% Change
	2015	2014			2015	2014		
Revenue:								
North America	\$ 1,368	\$ 3,155	\$ (1,787)	(57%)	\$ 4,872	\$ 8,774	\$ (3,902)	(44%)
Latin America	439	571	(132)	(23%)	1,371	1,645	(274)	(17%)
Europe/Africa/Russia Caspian	791	1,114	(323)	(29%)	2,555	3,269	(714)	(22%)
Middle East/Asia Pacific	849	1,077	(228)	(21%)	2,621	3,241	(620)	(19%)
Industrial Services	339	333	6	2%	929	987	(58)	(6%)
Total	\$ 3,786	\$ 6,250	\$ (2,464)	(39%)	\$ 12,348	\$ 17,916	\$ (5,568)	(31%)

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2015	2014	\$ Change	% Change	2015	2014	\$ Change	% Change
Profit (Loss) Before Taxes:								
North America	\$ (169)	\$ 380	\$ (549)	(144%)	\$ (545)	\$ 978	\$ (1,523)	(156%)
Latin America	48	71	(23)	(32%)	122	172	(50)	(29%)
Europe/Africa/Russia Caspian	90	91	(1)	(1%)	117	421	(304)	(72%)
Middle East/Asia Pacific	69	155	(86)	(55%)	182	448	(266)	(59%)
Industrial Services	40	35	5	14%	79	96	(17)	(18%)
Total Operations	78	732	(654)	(89%)	(45)	2,115	(2,160)	(102%)
Corporate and other	(81)	(71)	(10)	14%	(240)	(209)	(31)	15%
Interest expense, net	(55)	(59)	4	(7%)	(162)	(175)	13	(7%)
Restructuring charges	(98)	—	(98)	N/M	(747)	—	(747)	N/M
Litigation settlements	—	—	—	—%	13	(62)	75	N/M
Total	\$ (156)	\$ 602	\$ (758)	(126%)	\$ (1,181)	\$ 1,669	\$ (2,850)	(171%)

“N/M” represents not meaningful.

Third Quarter of 2015 Compared to the Third Quarter of 2014

North America

North America revenue decreased \$1.79 billion, or 57%, in the third quarter of 2015 compared to the third quarter of 2014 consistent with the 54% decline in the rig count. The drop in revenue is primarily attributable to the reduction in customer spending, which has resulted in a steep decline in activity, primarily in onshore and shallow water markets, and an unfavorable pricing environment. All product lines have been unfavorably impacted by the drop in activity, with production chemicals and deepwater operations showing the most resilience. In the Gulf of Mexico, deepwater activity delays from seasonal ocean currents did not impact our operations to the same extent as the prior year.

North America loss before tax was \$169 million in the third quarter of 2015 compared to profit before tax of \$380 million in the third quarter of 2014. Margins were negatively impacted by the sharp reduction in activity and an increasingly unfavorable pricing environment. Actions taken in the first three quarters of 2015 to reduce our workforce, close and consolidate facilities and improve commercial terms with vendors, resulted in lower operating costs. Despite these actions to restructure our North American operations to operate in a lower activity environment, the speed of the revenue decline outpaced the benefits of cost saving initiatives.

Latin America

Latin America revenue decreased \$132 million, or 23%, in the third quarter of 2015 compared to the third quarter of 2014. Revenue declined largely as a result of activity reductions across the region, predominately in the Andean area where the rig count has declined 46%. Revenue was also negatively impacted by the unfavorable change in foreign exchange rates, primarily in Brazil.

Latin America profit before tax was \$48 million in the third quarter of 2015, a decrease of \$23 million compared to the third quarter of 2014. The reduction in profitability as a result of lower activity was partially offset by improvements made to our operational cost structure.

Europe/Africa/Russia Caspian

EARC revenue decreased \$323 million, or 29%, in the third quarter of 2015 compared to the third quarter of 2014. The decrease can be attributed predominately to activity reductions in Africa and Continental Europe, as reflected in the 30% rig count decline for these areas; the unfavorable change in exchange rates of several

currencies across the region relative to the U.S. Dollar, which resulted in a reduction in revenue of approximately \$110 million; and unfavorable pricing across the region. The deconsolidation of a joint venture in North Africa late last year also contributed to the decline.

EARC profit before tax was \$90 million in the third quarter of 2015 compared to \$91 million in the third quarter of 2014. Profitability was impacted by lower activity levels, pricing deterioration and approximately \$43 million associated with the unfavorable change in exchange rates, which were partially offset by the savings resulting from recent cost reduction measures. Also, the third quarter of 2014 included a \$58 million charge associated with the restructuring of our operations in North Africa, and impairment of certain assets, that did not repeat in the third quarter of 2015.

Middle East/Asia Pacific

MEAP revenue decreased \$228 million, or 21%, in the third quarter of 2015 compared to the third quarter of 2014. The decline in revenue was driven primarily by lower activity throughout Asia Pacific, as reflected in the 15% drop in the rig count, and in the Middle East mainly as a result of a reduction of our integrated operations in Iraq. Revenue was also impacted by unfavorable pricing in certain markets across the region. Share gains in the Middle East slightly offset the activity and pricing declines.

MEAP profit before tax was \$69 million, a decrease of \$86 million, or 55%, in the third quarter of 2015 compared to the third quarter of 2014. The reduction in profitability was attributed largely to lower activity levels and unfavorable pricing. The current quarter also includes charges related to our integrated operations in Iraq. These reductions were partially offset by the benefit of the recent cost-saving actions.

Industrial Services

For Industrial Services, revenue increased \$6 million and profit before tax increased \$5 million in the third quarter of 2015 compared to the third quarter of 2014. The increase in revenue was attributed to the acquisition of a pipeline services business late in the third quarter of 2014, partially offset by reduced activity. Revenue was also impacted by unfavorable changes in foreign exchange rates. The improvement in profitability can be largely associated with increased revenue and savings from recent cost reduction measures.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014

Revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 decreased \$5.57 billion, or 31%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2014. Revenue decreased in all geographic segments, with the steepest drop seen in North America where the average rig count declined 43% for the first nine months of 2015 compared to the same period a year ago. Reduced activity, unfavorable pricing and foreign exchange rates negatively impacted our revenue from foreign operations.

Loss before tax for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 was \$1.18 billion, compared to profit before tax of \$1.67 billion for the same period a year ago, which included \$747 million of restructuring charges. In North America, profitability was negatively impacted by the sharp reduction in activity and an increasingly unfavorable pricing environment. In addition, we recorded \$194 million in charges to write down the carrying value of certain inventory. In Latin America, the decline in 2015 compared to 2014 has been tempered as improvements in our operational cost structure offset the impact of declines in revenue. Profits in our EARC and MEAP segments were negatively impacted by lower activity levels and pricing deterioration. In addition, in EARC the unfavorable change in exchange rates reduced profitability. Actions taken across all segments to reduce our workforce, close and consolidate facilities and improve commercial terms with vendors partially offset these unfavorable market conditions.

Costs and Expenses

The table below details certain unaudited consolidated condensed statement of income data and as a percentage of revenue.

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2015		2014		2015		2014	
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
Revenue	\$ 3,786	100%	\$ 6,250	100%	\$ 12,348	100%	\$ 17,916	100%
Cost of revenue	3,403	90%	5,107	82%	11,360	92%	14,572	81%
Research and engineering	115	3%	159	3%	377	3%	461	3%
Marketing, general and administrative	271	7%	323	5%	896	7%	977	5%

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue as a percentage of revenue was 90% and 92% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, and 82% and 81% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. Despite actions to restructure our global operations to operate in a lower price and activity environment, the decline in revenue has outpaced the benefit of cost saving measures. Additionally, the product lines most significantly impacted by the downturn in rig activity are also the most capital-intensive. Accordingly, the fixed costs associated with those product lines lessened the positive impact of our cost reduction efforts in 2015. Cost of revenue for the first nine months of 2015 was also negatively impacted by a charge of \$194 million to adjust the carrying value of certain inventory due to the market decline, and \$59 million of expenses related to the Merger, of which \$28 million was recorded in the third quarter of 2015.

Research and Engineering

Research and engineering expenses declined by \$44 million and \$84 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to the prior year, yet remained relatively flat as a percentage of revenue. The reduction in research and engineering expense was driven by cost reduction measures, partially offset by \$11 million of expenses related to the Merger, of which \$5 million was recorded in the third quarter of 2015.

Marketing, General and Administrative

Marketing, general and administrative ("MG&A") expenses declined by \$52 million and \$81 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively, compared to the same periods a year ago. Included in MG&A expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, are costs of \$60 million and \$134 million, respectively, related to the Merger, which were more than offset by cost reduction measures.

Restructuring Charges

During the three months ended September 30, 2015, we recorded a restructuring charge of \$98 million, bringing our year-to-date total restructuring charge to \$747 million. The charge in the third quarter of 2015 was primarily related to additional workforce reductions. The year-to-date restructuring charge consists of \$416 million for workforce reduction costs, \$83 million for contract termination costs and \$248 million for asset impairments related to excess machinery and equipment and facilities. Total cash paid during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 related to these charges was \$338 million. For further discussion of these restructuring charges, see Note 3. "Restructuring and Other Charges" of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part 1 herein.

The reduction in costs from eliminating depreciation and reduced employee expenses in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2015 is approximately \$222 million and \$423 million, respectively, and is expected to be approximately \$254 million for the fourth quarter of 2015 and more than \$1.31 billion on an annualized basis.

Litigation Settlements

During the second quarter of 2014, we recorded a charge of \$62 million related to litigation settlements for wage and hour lawsuits. A portion of this settlement was to be paid on a claims made basis and during the second quarter of 2015, the date passed by which the class members could file a claim under this provision of the settlement agreement. The amount of claims made was less than estimated and accordingly, we reduced the accrual by approximately \$13 million, which was recorded as an adjustment for litigation settlements during the second quarter of 2015. For further discussion, see Note 12. "Commitments and Contingencies - Litigation" in the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 herein.

Income Taxes

We reported zero income tax expense or benefit for the three months ended September 30, 2015, and an income tax benefit of \$242 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Our effective tax rate on the loss before income taxes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 was 0.0% and 20.5%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2015 is lower than the U.S. statutory income tax rate of 35% primarily due to \$98 million of restructuring charges with only partial or no tax-benefit in certain jurisdictions, the geographical mix of profits and losses, and higher withholding taxes, partially offset by adjustments to prior years' tax positions. The total tax benefit associated with the restructuring and inventory write-downs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 was \$28 million and \$263 million, respectively.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our objective in financing our business is to maintain sufficient liquidity, adequate financial resources and financial flexibility in order to fund the requirements of our business. At September 30, 2015, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$2.04 billion, of which approximately \$1.68 billion was held by foreign subsidiaries. This compares to \$1.74 billion of cash and cash equivalents held at December 31, 2014, of which approximately \$1.31 billion was held by foreign affiliates. A substantial portion of the cash held by foreign subsidiaries at September 30, 2015 was reinvested in our international operations as our intent is to use this cash to, among other things, fund the operations of our foreign subsidiaries. If we decide at a later date to repatriate those funds to the U.S., we may be required to provide taxes on certain of those funds based on applicable U.S. tax rates net of foreign tax credits. We have a committed revolving credit facility ("credit facility") with commercial banks and a related commercial paper program under which the maximum combined borrowing at any time under both the credit facility and the commercial paper program is \$2.50 billion. At September 30, 2015, we had no commercial paper outstanding; therefore, the amount available for borrowing under the credit facility as of September 30, 2015 was \$2.50 billion. We believe that cash on hand, cash flows generated from operations and the available credit facility, including the issuance of commercial paper, will provide sufficient liquidity to manage our global cash needs. In the nine months ended September 30, 2015, we used cash to fund a variety of activities including certain working capital needs and restructuring costs, capital expenditures, and the payment of dividends.

Cash Flows

Cash flows provided by (used in) each type of activity were as follows for the nine months ended September 30:

<i>(In millions)</i>	2015	2014
Operating activities	\$ 1,265	\$ 1,754
Investing activities	(713)	(1,306)
Financing activities	(239)	(634)

Operating Activities

Cash flows from operating activities provided cash of \$1.27 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, driven primarily by changes in working capital (receivables, inventories, accounts payable), which declined due to lower activity in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, resulting in net operating cash flows of \$1.17

billion. Included in our cash flows from operating activities are payments of \$338 million made for employee severance and contract termination costs as a result of our restructuring activities initiated in 2015.

Investing Activities

Our principal recurring investing activity is the funding of capital expenditures to ensure that we have the appropriate levels and types of machinery and equipment in place to generate revenue from operations. Expenditures for capital assets totaled \$751 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Proceeds from the disposal of assets were \$269 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2015, which related primarily to equipment that was lost-in-hole, and to a lesser extent, property, machinery and equipment no longer used in operations that was sold throughout the period.

Financing Activities

We had net repayments of short-term debt and other borrowings of \$38 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Total debt outstanding at September 30, 2015 was \$4.05 billion, a decrease of \$81 million compared to December 31, 2014. The total debt-to-capital (defined as total debt plus equity) ratio was 0.19 at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014. We paid dividends of \$222 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Our Board of Directors has previously authorized a program to repurchase our common stock from time to time. In the nine months ended September 30, 2015, we did not repurchase any shares of common stock. We had authorization remaining to repurchase approximately \$1.05 billion in common stock at September 30, 2015. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, we repurchased 9.1 million shares of our common stock at an average price of \$65.75 per share, for a total of \$600 million.

Under the merger agreement with Halliburton, as described in Note 2. "Halliburton Merger Agreement" of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I herein, we have generally agreed not to repurchase any shares of our common stock while the merger is pending.

Available Credit Facility

We have a committed revolving credit facility with commercial banks and a related commercial paper program under which the maximum combined borrowing at any time under both the credit facility and the commercial paper program is \$2.50 billion. The credit facility matures in September 2016 and contains certain covenants which, among other things, restrict certain merger transactions or the sale of all or substantially all of our assets or a significant subsidiary and limit the amount of subsidiary indebtedness. Upon the occurrence of certain events of default, our obligations under the credit facility may be accelerated. Such events of default include payment defaults to lenders under the credit facility, covenant defaults and other customary defaults. We were in compliance with all of the credit facility's covenants, and there were no direct borrowings under the credit facility during the quarter ended September 30, 2015. Under the commercial paper program, we may issue from time to time up to \$2.50 billion in commercial paper with maturity of no more than 270 days. The amount available to borrow under the credit facility is reduced by the amount of any commercial paper outstanding. At September 30, 2015, we had no outstanding borrowings under the commercial paper program.

If market conditions were to change and our revenue was reduced significantly or operating costs were to increase, our cash flows and liquidity could be reduced. Additionally, it could cause the rating agencies to lower our credit rating. There are no ratings triggers that would accelerate the maturity of any borrowings under our committed credit facility. However, a downgrade in our credit ratings could increase the cost of borrowings under the facility and could also limit or preclude our ability to issue commercial paper. Should this occur, we would seek alternative sources of funding, including borrowing under the credit facility.

We believe our current credit ratings would allow us to obtain interim financing over and above our existing credit facility for any currently unforeseen significant needs.

Cash Requirements

In 2015, we believe cash on hand, cash flows from operating activities and the available credit facility will provide us with sufficient capital resources and liquidity to manage our working capital needs, meet contractual obligations, fund capital expenditures and dividends, and support the development of our short-term and long-term operating strategies. If necessary, we may issue commercial paper or other short-term debt to fund cash needs in the U.S. in excess of the cash generated in the U.S.

In 2015, we expect our capital expenditures to be between \$900 million and \$1 billion, excluding any amount related to acquisitions. The expenditures are expected to be used primarily for normal, recurring items necessary to support our business and operations. A significant portion of our capital expenditures can be adjusted and managed by us to match market demand and activity levels.

We anticipate making income tax payments of between \$450 million and \$550 million in 2015.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, we contributed approximately \$220 million to our defined benefit, defined contribution and other postretirement plans. We expect to make additional contributions in the range of \$60 million to \$65 million to these plans in the fourth quarter of 2015.

We anticipate paying dividends of between \$295 million and \$305 million in 2015. Under the merger agreement with Halliburton, as described in Note 2. "Halliburton Merger Agreement" of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I herein, we have agreed not to increase the quarterly dividend while the merger is pending.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

MD&A and certain statements in the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements, includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act (each a "forward-looking statement"). The words "anticipate," "believe," "ensure," "expect," "if," "intend," "estimate," "probable," "project," "forecasts," "predict," "outlook," "aim," "will," "could," "should," "would," "potential," "may," "likely" and similar expressions, and the negative thereof, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Our forward-looking statements are based on assumptions that we believe to be reasonable but that may not prove to be accurate. The statements do not include the potential impact of future transactions, such as an acquisition, disposition, merger, joint venture or other transactions that could occur, including the pending merger with Halliburton. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement. Our expectations regarding our business outlook, including changes in revenue, pricing, capital spending, profitability, tax rates, strategies for our operations, oil and natural gas market conditions, the business plans of our customers, market share and contract terms, costs and availability of resources, legal, economic and regulatory conditions, and environmental matters are only our forecasts regarding these matters.

All of our forward-looking information is subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results expected. Although it is not possible to identify all factors, these risks and uncertainties include the risk factors and the timing of any of those risk factors identified in "Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors" section contained herein, as well as the risk factors described in our 2014 Annual Report, this filing and those set forth from time to time in our filings with the SEC. These documents are available through our website or through the SEC's Electronic Data Gathering and Analysis Retrieval ("EDGAR") system at <http://www.sec.gov>.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Information about market risks for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, does not differ materially from that discussed under Part II, Item 7(a), "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk," in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report, we have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). This evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer. Based on this evaluation, these officers have concluded that, as of September 30, 2015, our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined by Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act, are effective at a reasonable assurance level. There has been no change in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2015 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Disclosure controls and procedures are our controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act, such as this Quarterly Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See discussion of legal proceedings in Note 12 of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report, Item 3 of Part I of our 2014 Annual Report and Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of our 2014 Annual Report.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

As of the date of this filing, the Company and its operations continue to be subject to the risk factors previously disclosed in our "Risk Factors" in the 2014 Annual Report, as well as the following risk factor:

Our restructuring activities may not achieve the results we expect and could change, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

In the first quarter of 2015, we announced and began to implement restructuring activities to reduce expenses, which included a reduction in our workforce, the termination of various contracts, the closing or abandoning of certain facilities, and the downsizing of our presence in select markets. In the second and third quarters of 2015, we had additional workforce reductions. There can be no assurance that our restructuring activities will produce the cost savings we anticipate in the expected timeframe or that the cumulative restructuring charge will not have to increase in order to achieve our cost savings targets. Any delay or failure to achieve the expected cost savings and any increase in our anticipated cumulative restructuring charge would likely cause our future earnings to be lower than anticipated.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The following table contains information about our purchases of equity securities during the three months ended September 30, 2015.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Program ⁽²⁾	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program ⁽³⁾
July 1-31, 2015	20,761	\$ 59.77	—	\$ 1,049,832,435
August 1-31, 2015	5,512	\$ 50.06	—	\$ 1,049,832,435
September 1-30, 2015	—	\$ —	—	\$ 1,049,832,435
Total	26,273	\$ 57.74	—	

⁽¹⁾ Represents shares purchased from employees to satisfy the tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units.

⁽²⁾ There were no repurchases during the third quarter of 2015 under our previously announced purchase program.

⁽³⁾ Under the merger agreement with Halliburton, as described in Note 2. "Halliburton Merger Agreement" of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part I herein, we have generally agreed not to repurchase any shares of our common stock while the merger is pending.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Our barite mining operations, in support of our drilling fluids products and services business, are subject to regulation by the federal Mine Safety and Health Administration under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977. Information concerning mine safety violations or other regulatory matters required by Section 1503(a) of the

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Each exhibit identified below is filed as a part of this report. Exhibits designated with an "*" are filed as an exhibit to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Exhibits designated with a "+" are identified as management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements. Exhibits previously filed as indicated below are incorporated by reference.

31.1*	Certification of Martin S. Craighead, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, furnished pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2*	Certification of Kimberly A. Ross, Chief Financial Officer, furnished pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32*	Statement of Martin S. Craighead, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and Kimberly A. Ross, Chief Financial Officer, furnished pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
95*	Mine Safety Disclosure.
99.1*	Notice of Extension dated July 10, 2015 of the Agreement and Plan of Merger among Halliburton Company, Red Tiger LLC and Baker Hughes Incorporated dated November 16, 2014, extending the termination date to December 1, 2015.
99.2*	Notice of Extension dated September 25, 2015 of the Agreement and Plan of Merger among Halliburton Company, Red Tiger LLC and Baker Hughes Incorporated dated November 16, 2014, extending the termination date to December 16, 2015.
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF*	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**BAKER HUGHES INCORPORATED
(Registrant)**

Date: October 21, 2015

By: /s/ KIMBERLY A. ROSS

Kimberly A. Ross

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: October 21, 2015

By: /s/ ALAN J. KEIFER

Alan J. Keifer

Vice President and Controller

CERTIFICATION

I, Martin S. Craighead, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Baker Hughes Incorporated;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 21, 2015

By: /s/ Martin S. Craighead
Martin S. Craighead
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Kimberly A. Ross, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Baker Hughes Incorporated;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: October 21, 2015

By: /s/ Kimberly A. Ross

Kimberly A. Ross

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO

18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350

AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Baker Hughes Incorporated (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2015, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned, Martin S. Craighead, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and Kimberly A. Ross, the Chief Financial Officer of the Company, each of the undersigned hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (i) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (ii) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of the dates and for the periods expressed in the Report.

The certification is given to the knowledge of the undersigned.

/s/ Martin S. Craighead

Name: Martin S. Craighead
Title: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Date: October 21, 2015

/s/ Kimberly A. Ross

Name: Kimberly A. Ross
Title: Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Date: October 21, 2015

Mine Safety Disclosure

The following disclosures are provided pursuant to Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K, which require certain disclosures by companies required to file periodic reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that operate mines regulated under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

The table that follows reflects citations, orders, violations and proposed assessments issued by the Mine Safety and Health Administration (the "MSHA") for each mine of which Baker Hughes and/or its subsidiaries is an operator. The disclosure is with respect to the three months ended September 30, 2015. Due to timing and other factors, the data may not agree with the mine data retrieval system maintained by the MSHA at www.MSHA.gov.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2015

Mine or Operating Name/MSHA Identification Number	Section 104 S&S Citations	Section 104(b) Orders	Section 104(d) Citations and Orders	Section 110(b)(2) Violations	Section 107(a) Orders	Proposed MSHA Assessments ⁽¹⁾	Mining Related Fatalities	Received Notice of Pattern of Violations Under Section 104(e) (yes/no)	Received Notice of Potential to Have Pattern Under Section 104(e) (yes/no)	Legal Actions Pending as of Last Day of Period	Legal Actions Initiated During Period	Legal Actions Resolved During Period
Morgan City Grinding Plant/1601357	0	0	0	0	0	\$ —	0	N	N	0	0	0
Argenta Mine and Mill/2601152	1	0	0	0	0	\$ 427	0	N	N	0	0	0
Corpus Christi Grinding Plant/4103112	0	0	0	0	0	\$ —	0	N	N	0	0	0

⁽¹⁾ Amounts included are the total dollar value of proposed assessments received from MSHA during the three months ended September 30, 2015, regardless of whether the assessment has been challenged or appealed. Citations and orders can be contested and appealed, and as part of that process, are sometimes reduced in severity and amount, and sometimes dismissed. The number of citations, orders, and proposed assessments vary by inspector and also vary depending on the size and type of the operation.

HALLIBURTON

3000 N Sam Houston Pkwy E – Houston, Texas 77032
Phone (281) 871-4000

July 10, 2015

Baker Hughes Incorporated 2929 Allen Parkway, Suite 2100 Houston, Texas 77019
Attention: Alan Crain

Re: Section 9.2(a) of the Merger Agreement

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Reference is made to that certain Agreement and Plan of Merger among Halliburton Company, a Delaware corporation (“Parent”), Red Tiger LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and wholly owned subsidiary of Parent (together with Parent, “Halliburton”), and Baker Hughes Incorporated, a Delaware corporation (“Baker Hughes” and, together with Halliburton, the “Parties”), dated as of November 16, 2014 (the “Merger Agreement”).

The Parties intend to enter into a Timing Agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice (“DOJ”) whereby the Parties will agree not to consummate the acquisition of Baker Hughes by Halliburton, as contemplated by the Merger Agreement, before the later of (i) November 25, 2015 and (ii) the date that is 90 days following the date on which the Parties have certified compliance with DOJ's Requests for Additional Information and Documents. Therefore, pursuant to Section 9.2(a) of the Merger Agreement, Halliburton hereby provides notice to Baker Hughes that it extends the Termination Date (as defined in the Merger Agreement) to December 1, 2015.

Reference is made to Section 10.4 of the Merger Agreement, which section is made applicable to this notice.

Please confirm your agreement by signing below.

[Remainder of page blank; signature page follows.]

HALLIBURTON COMPANY

By: /s/ Robb L. Voyles
Name: Robb L. Voyles
Title: Executive Vice President and
General Counsel

RED TIGER LLC

By: /s/ Robb L. Voyles
Name: Robb L. Voyles
Title: Executive Vice President and
General Counsel

AGREED:

BAKER HUGHES INCORPORATED

By: /s/ Alan R. Crain
Name: Alan R. Crain
Title: Senior Vice President, Chief Legal & Governance Officer

cc:

Baker Botts L.L.P.
One Shell Plaza
910 Louisiana Street
Houston, Texas 77002
Attention: Kelly B. Rose
J. David Kirkland, Jr.
Telephone: (713) 229-1796
Facsimile: (713) 229-7996
Email: kelly.rose@bakerbotts.com
david.kirkland@bakerbotts.com

Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
450 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017
Attention: Arthur F. Golden
George R. Bason, Jr.
Michael Davis
Telephone: (212) 450-4000
Facsimile: (212) 450-5800
Email: arthur.golden@davispolk.com
george.bason@davispolk.com
michael.davis@davispolk.com

HALLIBURTON

3000 N Sam Houston Pkwy E – Houston, Texas 77032
Phone (281) 871-4000

September 25, 2015

Baker Hughes Incorporated
2929 Allen Parkway, Suite 2100
Houston, Texas 77019
Attention: Alan Crain

Re: Section 9.2(a) of the Merger Agreement

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Reference is made to that certain Agreement and Plan of Merger among Halliburton Company, a Delaware corporation (“Parent”), Red Tiger LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and wholly owned subsidiary of Parent (together with Parent, “Halliburton”), and Baker Hughes Incorporated, a Delaware corporation (“Baker Hughes” and, together with Halliburton, the “Parties”), dated as of November 16, 2014 (the “Merger Agreement”).

The Parties entered into an amended Timing Agreement with the U.S. Department of Justice (“DOJ”) on September 23, 2015. Therefore, pursuant to Section 9.2(a) of the Merger Agreement, Halliburton hereby provides notice to Baker Hughes that it extends the Termination Date (as defined in the Merger Agreement) to December 16, 2015.

Reference is made to Section 10.4 of the Merger Agreement, which section is made applicable to this notice.

Please confirm your agreement by signing below.

[Remainder of page blank; signature page follows.]

HALLIBURTON COMPANY

By: /s/ Robb L. Voyles
Name: Robb L. Voyles
Title: Executive Vice President and
General Counsel

RED TIGER LLC

By: /s/ Robb L. Voyles
Name: Robb L. Voyles
Title: Executive Vice President and
General Counsel

AGREED:

BAKER HUGHES INCORPORATED

By: /s/ Alan R. Crain
Name: Alan R. Crain
Title: Senior Vice President, Chief Legal & Governance Officer

CC:

Baker Botts L.L.P.
One Shell Plaza
910 Louisiana Street
Houston, Texas 77002
Attention: Kelly B. Rose
J. David Kirkland, Jr.
Telephone: (713) 229-1796
Facsimile: (713) 229-7996
Email: kelly.rose@bakerbotts.com
david.kirkland@bakerbotts.com

Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
450 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017
Attention: Arthur F. Golden
George R. Bason, Jr.
Michael Davis
Telephone: (212) 450-4000
Facsimile: (212) 450-5800
Email: arthur.golden@davispolk.com
george.bason@davispolk.com
michael.davis@davispolk.com